

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,119	08/29/2003	David L. Dean JR.	HE0205	1840
21495 7:	590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
CORNING CABLE SYSTEMS LLC			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
P O BOX 489 HICKORY, N	C 28603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/652,119	DEAN ET AL.	m			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chandrika Prasad	2839				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION as long of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stateply received by the Office later than three months after the may be adopted the manufacture of	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a creply within the statutory minimum of thir tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	<u>8 May 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	on Papers	·					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	• •			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	age			
2) Notice (3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to the Amendments

1. The reply filed 5/13/05 consists of changes in the specification, addition of a new figure and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luther et al. (5867621).

Luther (Figures 1-6) shows a multifiber ferrule 38 with a molded ferrule body having a smaller end face 48 with a plurality of bores 52 for receiving ends of optical fibers, at least one opening 50a for receiving alignment members and defining a longitudinal axis wherein the ferrule body comprises an integrally formed geometrical reference feature (a sloped planar surface) between the smaller end face 48 and a larger end face 48 and wherein the end face is not machined. The surface of the smaller end face 48 is perpendicular to the axis and the sloped surface is at angle to the axis. The sloped surface provides visual measurements for aligning purposes. The sloped surface forms a bumper extending from the end face 48 of the ferrule. The ferrule body does have a recessed feature formed by body elements 34 and end face 44. The geometrical reference feature is within an opening in the body and is not

altered through the useful life of the ferrule. The planes defined by the end face 48 and sloped surface define an angle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luther et al. (5867621).

Luther shows all the features of these claims as described above except a second ferrule. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide a plurality of ferrules because this would require a mere duplication of essential parts, which involve only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Co. vs. Bemis co., 193 USPQ 8.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 05/13/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Luther provides Nagasawa et al. as examples of MTP connectors that could be used with the Luther adapter, and that Nagasawa et al. do imply that all MTP connectors are polished. The examiner disagrees. Luther does not explicitly disclose that Luther's adapter is limited to the use of MTP connectors and Nagasawa et al. do not explicitly disclose that all MTP connectors are polished. Furthermore, none of claims refer to any MTP connector. The examiner did not find any

Application/Control Number: 10/652,119 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

such disclosure in Nagasawa. Determination of angularity of an end face defined by

geometrical feature and visual observation is common knowledge.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

8. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (571) 272-2099. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be

reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad

June 27, 2005